

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

DAVID PUTZER,	)	3:07-cv-00620-LRH-VPC
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<u>ORDER</u>
	)	
vs.	)	
	)	
JAMES DONNELLY, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

Before the court is Plaintiff's Motion for Review and Appeal (#138<sup>1</sup>) to the Magistrate's Order (#128), which denied Plaintiff's emergency motion (#118). Defendants filed an Opposition to Motion for Reconsideration (#150).

The Court has conducted its review in this case, has fully considered the Plaintiff's opposition, and other relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1), and concludes that the Magistrate Judge's ruling is neither clearly erroneous nor contrary to law.

The Magistrate Judge's Order (#128) will, therefore, be sustained and Plaintiff's motion (#138) is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of September, 2009.



\_\_\_\_\_  
LARRY R. HICKS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

\_\_\_\_\_  
<sup>1</sup>Refers to this court's docket number.